arXiv:1612.00001v1 [math.NA] 30 Nov 2016

Block Recursive Matrix Inverse

Iria C. S. Cosme[a,b], Isaac F. Fernandes[c], João L. de Carvalho[a], Samuel Xavier-de-Souza[a,*]

[a] *Departamento de Engenharia de Computação e Automação, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, 59078-970, Natal-RN, Brazil*
[b] *São Gonçalo do Amarante Campus, Instituto Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Guanduba Road, 59290-000, São Gonçalo do Amarante-RN, Brazil*
[c] *Instituto Metrópole Digital, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, 59078-970, Natal-RN, Brazil*

Abstract

The inversion of extremely high order matrices has been a challenging task because of the limited processing and memory capacity of conventional computers. In a scenario in which the data does not fit in memory, it is worth to consider increasing iterations on the data, even if that increases processing time in order to allow such computations to be completed. We propose a algorithm to compute the inverse of block partitioned matrices as a new form of decreasing the memory footprint. The proposed algorithm works recursively to invert one block of a $k \times k$ block matrix A, with $k \geq 2$, based on the successive splitting of A. The algorithm compute one block of the inverse at a time, in order to limit memory usage during the entire processing.

Keywords: Block Matrices, Large matrices, Schur Complement, Recursive inversion

1. Introduction

Matrix inversion is a computation task necessary in many scientic applications, such as signal processing, complex network analysis, statistics [1] and

*Corresponding author.
Email addresses: iria.cosme@ifrn.edu.br (Iria C. S. Cosme), isaacfranco@imd.ufrn.br (Isaac F. Fernandes), carvalho.jldc@gmail.com (João L. de Carvalho), samuel@dca.ufrn.b (Samuel Xavier-de-Souza)

some eigenvalue-related problems [2], to name just a few. There are some commonly available matrix inversion algorithms for any nonsingular matrix, like Gaussian elimination, Gauss-Jordan, LU Decomposition and Cholesky decomposition. The majority of these algorithms are computationally intensive in use of memory and processor. For example, to compute the inverse of a $n \times n$ matrix A with Gauss-Jordan method has computational complexity of $O(n^3)$ and memory storage complexity of $O(n^2)$. This can limit applicability of such methods for large-scale matrices, mainly, because of the amount of memory present in computational systems which is always bounded.

Working with big data is a situation becoming increasingly common in today$'$s world in view of advances in sensor and communication technologies, coupled with increased processing power. This has become a challenging task because of the limited processing and memory capacity of conventional computers. In classification (or regression) problems, for example, inverting matrices of extremely high order [3] can lead to exceeding the computer memory capacity just to store its inverse. In a scenario in which the data does not fit in the memory, it is worth to consider increasing iterations on the data, even if that increases processing time in order to allow such computations to be completed.

In linear algebra terms, the use of block partitioned matrices is commonly used to cut down processing time of matrices, column-row expansions, and many computer science applications, including VLSI chip design. Block matrices may occurs, naturally, due to the ordering of the equations and the variables in wide variety of scientific and engineering applications, such as in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations [4], mixed finite elements approximation of elliptic partial differential equations [5], optimal control [6], eletrical networks [7] and the Strassen's Algorithm [8] for fast matrix multiplication. Algorithms that manipulate matrices at the block level are often more efficient because they are more abundant in level-3 operations [9], and thus, can be implemented recursively.

There are many related papers on the inverse of block matrices. In [10], the authors give inverse formulae for 2×2 block matrices applied in block triangular matrices and various structured matrices such as Hamiltonian, per-Hermitian

and centro-Hermitian matrices. The inversion of block circulant matrices has been extensively investigated in [11] and [12]. Also, there are research suggesting the use block preconditioner for the block partitioned matrices [13].

Likewise, recursive algorithms have been applied in [11], [14] and [15] for the inversion of particular cases of matrices, such as circulant-structured matrices. In [14] a recursive method is proposed for the LU decomposition of a real symmetric circulant matrix. In [11], a recursive algorithm is applied for the determination of the first block row of the inverse of a block circulant matrix with circulant blocks. And, finally, [15] proposed a recursive algorithm based on the inversion of $k \times k$ block matrices for cases of matrices with circulant blocks based on previous diagonalization of each circulant block.

In [3], parts of inverse matrix, namely, the diagonal blocks of kernel matrix of the Least Squares Support Vector Machine (LS-SVM) was used to compute the predicted labels of the cross validation algorithms. Thus, it is not necessary to compute and, in fact, store in memory the entire inverse kernel matrix.

In this paper, motivated by the preceding considerations, we introduce a recursive method for the inversion of a $k \times k$ block matrix A with square blocks of order b. The basic idea of this algorithm lies on the determination of one block of the inverse of the matrix at a time. The method is based on successive splitting of the original matrix in 4 square matrices of the inferior order, called frames. For this, it is considered two stages, namely the forward recursive procedure and backward recursive procedure. The forward recursive procedure terminates after $k - 2$ steps, when the resulting frames have 2×2 blocks. Thereafter, in the backward recursive procedure, for each 4 frames generated, operations are carried out reducing them to a single block.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and summarize the main definitions about inversion of 2×2 block matrices using Schur Complement. In Section 3, we present the recursive algorithm. In Section 4, we demonstrate a representative example of inverses of 4×4 block matrices by recursion. Finally, in Section 5, we present some numerical results, exhibiting the efficiency of the proposed method in terms of processing time and using of

computational memory.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we begin with some basic notation which is frequently used in the sequel.

Let M be a nonsingular matrix composed of square blocks $M_{\alpha\beta}$ of the same order

$$M_{m\times m} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & \cdots & M_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k1} & \cdots & M_{kk} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $M_{\alpha\beta}$ designates the (α, β) block. With this notation, block $M_{\alpha\beta}$ has dimension $b \times b$, with $b = \dfrac{m}{k}$, and $M = (M_{\alpha\beta})$ is a $k - by - k$ block matrix.

Assume that M^{-1} is the inverse matrix of M. So,

$$M^{-1}_{m\times m} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{11} & \cdots & N_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ N_{k1} & \cdots & N_{kk} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Assigning $k = 2$, then we have a 2×2 block matrix as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where $A := M_{11}$, $B := M_{12}$, $C := M_{21}$ and $D := M_{22}$; and M^{-1} is its inverse matrix as

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where $E := N_{11}$, $F := N_{12}$, $G := N_{21}$ and $H := N_{22}$.

If A is nonsingular, the Schur complement of M with respect to A[16], denoted by (M/A), is defined by

$$(M/A) = D - CA^{-1}B. \tag{5}$$

Let us remark that it is possible to define similarly the following Schur complements

$$(M/B) = C - DB^{-1}A, \tag{6}$$

$$(M/C) = B - AC^{-1}D, \quad \text{and} \tag{7}$$

$$(M/D) = A - BD^{-1}C, \tag{8}$$

provided that the matrices B, C and D are nonsingular only in (6), (7) and (8), respectively [17].

Considering the D block, if both M and D in (3) are nonsingular, then $(M/D) = A - BD^{-1}C$ is nonsingular, too, and M can be decomposed as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (M/D) & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & I \end{bmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where I is the identity matrix. In this case, the inverse of M can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} M^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -D^{-1}C & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (M/D)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & D^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (M/D)^{-1} & -(M/D)^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C(M/D)^{-1} & D^{-1}+D^{-1}C(M/D)^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The formulae in (10) is well known and has extensively been used in dealing with inverses of block matrices [18].

3. Block Recursive Inversion algorithm

It is worth noting that, according to (10), to get E just calculate the inverse of Schur complement of D in M.

Following this premise, it was observed that to obtain N_{11} of inverse of the $m \times m$ blocks matrix, with $m > 2$, just successively split the matrix involved in 4 square matrices of the same order, called frames, following some basic rules.

Thereafter, for each 4 frames generated, should apply the Schur complement in the opposite direction to the recursion, reducing them to a single frame. Finally, N_{11} is obtained by calculating the inverse of Schur complement of the last 4 frames involved in the reverse process to the recursion.

For a better understanding, the algorithm will be explained considering two stages, namely the *Forward Recursive Procedure* and *Backward Recursive Procedure*. For this process, consider (1) as the input block matrix.

3.1. Forward Recursive Procedure

Step 1: We split the input, a $k \times k$ block matrix M, into four $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ block matrices $M\rangle_A$, $M\rangle_B$, $M\rangle_C$ and $M\rangle_D$, called frames, excluding one of the block rows of M and one of the block columns of M as follows.

The frame $M\rangle_A$ results from the removal of the lowermost block row and rightmost block column of M; $M\rangle_B$ results from the removal of the lowermost block row and leftmost block column of M; $M\rangle_C$ results from the removal of the uppermost block row and rightmost block column of M and, finally, $M\rangle_D$ results from the removal of the uppermost block row and leftmost block column of M, as shown in (11).

$$M\rangle_A = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & \cdots & M_{1(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{(k-1)1} & \cdots & M_{(k-1)(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \tag{11}$$

$$M\rangle_B = \begin{bmatrix} M_{12} & \cdots & M_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{(k-1)2} & \cdots & M_{(k-1)k} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M\rangle_C = \begin{bmatrix} M_{21} & \cdots & M_{2(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k1} & \cdots & M_{k(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M\rangle_D = \begin{bmatrix} M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k2} & \cdots & M_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: Exchange block rows and/or block columns until the that block M_{22} reaches its original place, namely, second block row and second block column. In this case, in the Frame $M\rangle_A$, no exchange occurs. In $M\rangle_B$, it is necessary to exchange the two leftmost block columns. In $M\rangle_C$, it is necessary to exchange the two uppermost block rows. And, finally, in $M\rangle_D$, simply exchange the two leftmost block columns and the two uppermost block rows. So, applying these exchanges, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\rangle_A &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & \cdots & M_{1(k-1)} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2(k-1)} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & \cdots & M_{3(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ M_{(k-1)1} & M_{(k-1)2} & \cdots & M_{(k-1)(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\
M\rangle_B &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{13} & M_{12} & \cdots & M_{1k} \\ M_{23} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2k} \\ M_{33} & M_{32} & \cdots & M_{3k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{(k-1)3} & M_{(k-1)2} & \cdots & M_{(k-1)k} \end{bmatrix} \\
M\rangle_C &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{31} & M_{32} & \cdots & M_{3(k-1)} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2(k-1)} \\ M_{41} & M_{42} & \cdots & M_{4(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k1} & M_{k2} & \cdots & M_{k(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\
M\rangle_D &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{33} & M_{32} & \cdots & M_{3k} \\ M_{23} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2k} \\ M_{43} & M_{42} & \cdots & M_{4k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k3} & M_{k2} & \cdots & M_{kk} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}
$$

Next, we split each one of the frames in (12), $M\rangle_A$, $M\rangle_B$, $M\rangle_C$ e $M\rangle_D$ into four $(k-2)\times(k-2)$ frames, excluding one of its block rows and one of its block columns, as showed in Step 1. For example, splitting the frame $M\rangle_D$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\rangle_D\rangle_A &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{33} & M_{32} & \cdots & M_{3(k-1)} \\ M_{23} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2(k-1)} \\ M_{43} & M_{42} & \cdots & M_{4(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{(k-1)3} & M_{(k-1)2} & \cdots & M_{(k-1)(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\
M\rangle_D\rangle_B &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{32} & M_{34} & \cdots & M_{3k} \\ M_{22} & M_{24} & \cdots & M_{2k} \\ M_{42} & M_{44} & \cdots & M_{4k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{(k-1)4} & M_{(k-1)2} & \cdots & M_{(k-1)k} \end{bmatrix} \\
M\rangle_D\rangle_C &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{23} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2(k-1)} \\ M_{43} & M_{42} & \cdots & M_{4(k-1)} \\ M_{53} & M_{52} & \cdots & M_{5(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k3} & M_{k2} & \cdots & M_{k(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\
M\rangle_D\rangle_D &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{22} & M_{24} & \cdots & M_{2k} \\ M_{42} & M_{44} & \cdots & M_{4k} \\ M_{52} & M_{54} & \cdots & M_{5k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k2} & M_{k4} & \cdots & M_{kk} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}
$$

Step i: For each of the $(k-(i-1)) \times (k-(i-1))$ frames resulting from the previous step (Step i-1), $M\rangle_A^{i-1}$ $M\rangle_B^{i-1}$, $M\rangle_C^{i-1}$ and $M\rangle_D^{i-1}$, make the permutation of block rows and/or blocks columns and then generate more four $(k-i) \times (k-i)$ frames, $M\rangle_A^i$, $M\rangle_B^i$, $M\rangle_C^i$ and $M\rangle_D^i$, excluding one of its block rows and one of its block columns in a manner analogous to what was done in Step 2 to the frames resulting from Step 1. Repeat Step i until $i = k-2$.

The superscript number to the "$\rangle$" symbol indicates the amount of these

existing symbols, including those not represented. Thus, it denotes $M\rangle_x^y$ with $y \in \mathbb{N}^*$ e $x \in \{A, B, C, D\}$.

3.2. Backward Recursive Procedure

Step 1: For each of the resulting 2×2 frames from the Step k-2 in the Forward Recursive Procedure, in Subsection 3.1, $M\rangle_A^{k-2}$ $M\rangle_B^{k-2}$, $M\rangle_C^{k-2}$ e $M\rangle_D^{k-2}$, compute the Schur complement of M_{22} to generate the blocks: $\langle M\rangle_A^{k-2}$ $\langle M\rangle_B^{k-2}$, $\langle M\rangle_C^{k-2}$ and $\langle M\rangle_D^{k-2}$, using the Equations (8), (7), (6) and (5), in that order. The "$\langle$" symbol indicates that the Schur complement operation was done on the respective frame.

Step 2: Assemble $\langle M\rangle_A^{k-3}\rangle$, $\langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle$, $\langle M\rangle_C^{k-3}\rangle$ and $\langle M\rangle_D^{k-3}\rangle$, joining the four frames that were originated by each in the Forward Recursive Procedure previously reported in 3.1, in the following way:

$$\langle M\rangle_A^{k-3}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_A^{k-3}\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_A^{k-3}\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_A^{k-3}\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_A^{k-3}\rangle_D \end{bmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle_D \end{bmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_C^{k-3}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_C^{k-3}\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_C^{k-3}\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_C^{k-3}\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_C^{k-3}\rangle_D \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_D^{k-3}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_D^{k-3}\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_D^{k-3}\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_D^{k-3}\rangle_D \end{bmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

Then, for each of these 2×2 block matrices, calculate the Schur complement applying (8), (7), (6) and (5), in this order, generating the blocks: $\langle\langle M\rangle_A^{k-3}\rangle$, $\langle\langle M\rangle_B^{k-3}\rangle$, $\langle\langle M\rangle_C^{k-3}\rangle$ and $\langle\langle M\rangle_D^{k-3}\rangle$.

Step i: Considering each four branches of the recursion, repeat the previous step generating frames $\langle {}^iM\rangle_A^{k-i-1}\rangle^{i-1}$, $\langle {}^iM\rangle_B^{k-i-1}\rangle^{i-1}$, $\langle {}^iM\rangle_C^{k-i-1}\rangle^{i-1}$ and $\langle {}^iM\rangle_D^{k-i-1}\rangle^{i-1}$, until $i = k-2$ and thus get $\langle {}^{k-2}M\rangle_A\rangle^{k-3}$, $\langle {}^{k-2}M\rangle_B\rangle^{k-3}$,

$\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_C\rangle^{k-3}$ e $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_D\rangle^{k-3}$.

Step k-1: Assemble $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle^{k-2}$ from $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_A\rangle^{k-3}$, $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_B\rangle^{k-3}$, $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_C\rangle^{k-3}$ e $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_D\rangle^{k-3}$, in the following way:

$$\langle^{k-2}M\rangle^{k-2} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle^{k-2}M\rangle_A\rangle^{k-3} & \langle^{k-2}M\rangle_B\rangle^{k-3} \\ \langle^{k-2}M\rangle_C\rangle^{k-3} & \langle^{k-2}M\rangle_D\rangle^{k-3} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

And, finally, calculate the Schur complement of $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle^{k-2}$ with respect to $\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_D\rangle^{k-3}$ using Equation (8). Thus, the inverse of the matrix corresponding to N_{11} corresponds to

$$N_{11} \quad = \quad (\langle^{k-2}M\rangle^{k-2}/\langle^{k-2}M\rangle_D\rangle^{k-3})^{-1}. \tag{19}$$

It is worth noting that with suitable permutation of rows and columns, we can position any block in the upper left-hand corner of M and get the corresponding inverse to this block with respect to M.

4. A Example: Inverses of 4×4 block matrices by recursion

In order to clarify the operation of proposed algorithm of the inversion of $b \times b$ block matrices, this section presents the process of inversion of a 4×4 block matrix.

The basic idea of the recursive algorithm, as shown in Section 3, for the inversion of 4×4 block matrices lies in the fact that in each step the involved matrices are split into four square matrices of the same order until to get 2×2 block matrices.

Consider that a nonsingular $4b \times 4b$ matrix M can be partitioned into 4×4

blocks of order b as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & M_{14} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & M_{24} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} & M_{34} \\ M_{41} & M_{42} & M_{43} & M_{44} \end{bmatrix} \tag{20}$$

and M^{-1} is its inverse matrix:

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} & N_{13} & N_{14} \\ N_{21} & N_{22} & N_{23} & N_{24} \\ N_{31} & N_{32} & N_{33} & N_{34} \\ N_{41} & N_{42} & N_{43} & N_{44} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Now, consider four square matrices of order $3b$ generated from M by the process of excluding one of its block rows and one of its block columns, as shown in Step 1 of Subsection 3.1, for $3b \times 3b$ matrices. After positioning the block M_{22} to its original position in M (Step 2), these frames are as follows:

$$M\rangle_A = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} \end{bmatrix} \quad M\rangle_B = \begin{bmatrix} M_{13} & M_{12} & M_{14} \\ M_{23} & M_{22} & M_{24} \\ M_{33} & M_{32} & M_{34} \end{bmatrix} \tag{22}$$

$$M\rangle_C = \begin{bmatrix} M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{41} & M_{42} & M_{43} \end{bmatrix} \quad M\rangle_D = \begin{bmatrix} M_{33} & M_{32} & M_{34} \\ M_{23} & M_{22} & M_{24} \\ M_{43} & M_{42} & M_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, recursively, each of the frames $M\rangle_A$, $M\rangle_B$, $M\rangle_C$ and $M\rangle_D$ will be divided into four 2×2 frames, applying the rules discussed in subsection 3.1 (Steps 2 and i). This process is illustrated in Figure 1.

Splitting, for example, the $M\rangle_B$ frame, the following frames are obtained:

$$M\rangle_B\rangle_A = \begin{bmatrix} M_{13} & M_{12} \\ M_{23} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M\rangle_B\rangle_B = \begin{bmatrix} M_{12} & M_{14} \\ M_{22} & M_{24} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{23}$$
$$M\rangle_B\rangle_C = \begin{bmatrix} M_{23} & M_{22} \\ M_{33} & M_{32} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M\rangle_B\rangle_D = \begin{bmatrix} M_{22} & M_{24} \\ M_{32} & M_{34} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As the Step 1 of Subsection 3.2, assuming M_{22} as nonsingular and using the Equations (8), (7), (6) and (5), in that order, the Schur Complement of M_{22} for each of the frames in (23) are:

$$\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_A = (M\rangle_B\rangle_A/M_{22}) = M_{13} - M_{12}M_{22}^{-1}M_{23} \tag{24}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_B = (M\rangle_B\rangle_B/M_{22}) = M_{14} - M_{12}M_{22}^{-1}M_{24} \tag{25}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_C = (M\rangle_B\rangle_C/M_{22}) = M_{33} - M_{32}M_{22}^{-1}M_{23} \tag{26}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_D = (M\rangle_B\rangle_D/M_{22}) = M_{34} - M_{32}M_{22}^{-1}M_{24} \tag{27}$$

Running the Step 2 of Backward Recursive Procedure from the recursion algorithm presented in Subsection 3.2, have that:

$$\langle M\rangle_A\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_A\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_A\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_A\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_A\rangle_D \end{bmatrix} \tag{28}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_B\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_B\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_B\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_B\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_B\rangle_D \end{bmatrix} \tag{29}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_C\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_C\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_C\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_C\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_C\rangle_D \end{bmatrix} \tag{30}$$

$$\langle M\rangle_D\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle M\rangle_D\rangle_A & \langle M\rangle_D\rangle_B \\ \langle M\rangle_D\rangle_C & \langle M\rangle_D\rangle_D \end{bmatrix} \tag{31}$$

Assuming M_{22} and $\langle M\rangle_A\rangle_D$, $\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_C$, $\langle M\rangle_C\rangle_B$ and $\langle M\rangle_D\rangle_A$ as nonsingular and using the Equations (8), (7), (6) and (5), in that order, the Schur Complement to get for each of the frames are:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle M\rangle_A\rangle &= (\langle M\rangle_A\rangle/\langle M\rangle_A\rangle_D) \\ &= \langle M\rangle_A\rangle_A - \langle M\rangle_A\rangle_B\langle M\rangle_A\rangle_D^{-1}\langle M\rangle_A\rangle_C\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle M\rangle_B\rangle &= (\langle M\rangle_B\rangle/\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_C) \\ &= \langle M\rangle_B\rangle_B - \langle M\rangle_B\rangle_A\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_C^{-1}\langle M\rangle_B\rangle_D\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle M\rangle_C\rangle &= (\langle M\rangle_C\rangle/\langle M\rangle_C\rangle_B) \\ &= \langle M\rangle_C\rangle_C - \langle M\rangle_C\rangle_D\langle M\rangle_C\rangle_B^{-1}\langle M\rangle_C\rangle_A\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle M\rangle_A\rangle &= (\langle M\rangle_D\rangle/\langle M\rangle_D\rangle_A) \\ &= \langle M\rangle_D\rangle_D - \langle M\rangle_D\rangle_C\langle M\rangle_D\rangle_A^{-1}\langle M\rangle_D\rangle_B\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

So, as the Step k-1 of Subsection 3.2, let $\langle\langle M\rangle\rangle$ be a block matrix generated by matrices resulting from (32), (33), (34) and (35), as shown in Figure 2.

$$\langle\langle M\rangle\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle\langle M\rangle_A\rangle & \langle\langle M\rangle_B\rangle \\ \langle\langle M\rangle_C\rangle & \langle\langle M\rangle_D\rangle \end{bmatrix} \tag{36}$$

Thus, $\langle\langle M\rangle\rangle$ is a 2×2 block matrix generated from the original 4×4 block matrix . So, in order to get N_{11}, simply to get the inverse of Schur complement of $\langle\langle M\rangle_D\rangle$ in $\langle\langle M\rangle\rangle$, following the same formula used to get E, shown in the upper left-hand corner of (10), namely $(M/D)^{-1} = (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}$.

Applying this formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}N_{11} &= (\langle\langle M\rangle\rangle/\langle\langle M\rangle_D\rangle)^{-1} \\ &= (\langle\langle M\rangle_A\rangle - \langle\langle M\rangle_B\rangle\langle\langle M\rangle_D\rangle^{-1}\langle\langle M\rangle_C\rangle)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$



Figure 1: Forward Recursive Procedure



Figure 2: Backward Recursive Procedure

References

References

[1] P. McCullagh, J. A. Nelder, Generalized linear models, Chapmann & Hal Londonl, 1989.

[2] R. Byers, Solving the algebraic riccati equation with the matrix sign function, Linear Algebra and its Applications 85 (1987) 267–279.

[3] S. An, W. Liu, S. Venkatesh, Fast cross-validation algorithms for least squares support vector machine and kernel ridge regression, Pattern Recognition 40 (8) (2007) 2154–2162.

[4] H. Elman, V. E. Howle, J. Shadid, R. Shuttleworth, R. Tuminaro, A taxonomy and comparison of parallel block multi-level preconditioners for the incompressible navier–stokes equations, Journal of Computational Physics 227 (3) (2008) 1790–1808.

[5] F. Brezzi, M. Fortin, Mixed and hybrid finite element methods, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[6] J. T. Betts, Practical methods for optimal control using nonlinear programming, Siam, 2001.

[7] A. Björck, Numerical methods for least squares problems, Siam, 1996.

[8] V. Strassen, Gaussian elimination is not optimal, Numerische Mathematik 13 (4) (1969) 354–356.

[9] G. H. Golub, C. F. Van Loan, Matrix computations, Vol. 4, Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013.

[10] T.-T. Lu, S.-H. Shiou, Inverses of $2\times$ 2 block matrices, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 43 (1) (2002) 119–129.

[11] J. Baker, F. Hiergeist, G. Trapp, A recursive algorithm to invert multiblock circulant matrices, Kyungpook Math. J 28 (1988) 45–50.

[12] R. Vescovo, Inversion of block-circulant matrices and circular array approach, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 45 (10) (1997) 1565–1567.

[13] S. Karimi, B. Zali, The block preconditioned lsqr and gl-lsqr algorithms for the block partitioned matrices, Applied Mathematics and Computation 227 (2014) 811–820.

[14] N. K. Madsen, Cyclic odd-even reduction for symmetric circulant matrices, Linear algebra and its applications 51 (1983) 17–35.

[15] N. L. Tsitsas, E. G. Alivizatos, G. H. Kalogeropoulos, A recursive algorithm for the inversion of matrices with circulant blocks, Applied mathematics and computation 188 (1) (2007) 877–894.

[16] F. Zhang, The Schur complement and its applications, Vol. 4, Springer Science & Business Media, 2005.

[17] C. Brezinski, Other manifestations of the schur complement, Linear Algebra and its Applications 111 (1988) 231–247.

[18] B. Noble, J. W. Daniel, et al., Applied linear algebra, Vol. 3, Prentice-Hall New Jersey, 1988.